

**Burlington Planning Commission
Ordinance Committee**

149 Church Street
Burlington, VT 05401
Telephone: (802) 865-7188
Fax: (802) 865-7195
www.burlingtonvt.gov/pz

Alexander Friend
Bruce Baker
Andy Montrol
Rob Purvee
Leo Sprinzen



Burlington Planning Commission

Ordinance Committee

Thursday, November 2, 2017 @ 5:15 PM

Planning & Zoning Conference Room, Ground Floor, City Hall

AGENDA

1. Amendment to Article 5: Citywide General Standards
Consideration of changes to Sec. 5.2.7, Density and Intensity of Development Calculations
2. CDO amendments list
Discussion of remaining items to address
3. Adjournment (6:15)

Next Meeting – December 7, 2017

This agenda is available in alternative media forms for people with disabilities. Individuals with disabilities who require assistance or special arrangements to participate in programs and activities of the Dept. of Planning and Zoning are encouraged to contact the Department at least 72 hours in advance so that proper accommodations can be arranged.

Department of Planning and Zoning

149 Church Street
Burlington, VT 05401
Telephone: (802) 865-7188
(802) 865-7195 (FAX)
(802) 865-7142 (TTY)

David White, AICP, Director
Meagan Tuttle, AICP, Comprehensive Planner
Jay Appleton, GIS Manager
Scott Gustin, AICP, CFM, Principal Planner
Mary O'Neil, AICP, Principal Planner
Ryan Morrison, Associate Planner
Layne Darfler, Planning Technician
Anita Wade, Zoning Clerk



TO: Planning Commission Ordinance Committee
FROM: Scott Gustin
DATE: November 2, 2017
RE: Sec. 5.2.7; Density and Intensity of Development Calculations

At their October 10, 2017 meeting, the Planning Commission referred this proposed zoning amendment to the Ordinance Committee for further discussion. The proposed amendment would drop the language pertaining to rounding fractional units up or down when calculating allowable residential density on a particular lot. The proposed language would simply keep the express residential density limits as is. Retention of the language pertaining to rounding fractional units up or down enables excess of allowable residential density by 1 unit in cases wherein the fractional unit is 0.5 or greater.

The basic question here is whether we adhere to the defined residential density limits expressed in Article 4 of the Comprehensive Development Ordinance or whether we allow surplus density by 1 unit in cases of rounding fractional units.

As noted at the October 10, 2017 Planning Commission meeting, this amendment is most applicable to the residential – high density, residential – medium density (incl. waterfront), and institutional zones. The residential – low density zone is generally limited to just single family homes or duplexes, regardless of lot size unless part of a planned unit development. Other zoning districts either do not allow residential use, or if they do, there is no per-acre density limit.

The density calculation prior to that presently in Sec. 5.2.7 entailed multiplying the allowable per-acre density by the lot size expressed in acreage.

Example (RM zone)

20 units (allowable per-acre density) X 0.58 acre = 11.6 units.

The fractional 11.6 is rounded up to 12, so 12 units would be permitted with rounding.

The density calculation articulated in Section 5.2.7 is different and starts with a number of dwelling units and divides by the lot size expressed in acreage.

Example (RM zone)

12 units (desired) / .58 acres = 20.7 units.

This number exceeds the 20 unit/acre limitation in the RM zone, so 12 units cannot be permitted.

As a matter of practice, units in excess of the permissible density have not been allowed, even with a fractional result of less than 0.5. Keeping the rounding language and changing practice to incorporate rounding can result in 1 surplus unit.

Example (RM zone)

12 units (desired) / .59 acres = 20.3 units.

The fractional 0.3 is rounded down to 20 units, which is compliant with the 20 units/acre density limitation in the RM zone. Note, however, that 11 units is the maximum density that results in less than 20.0 units/acre ($11/.59 = 18.6$).

Staff recommends keeping to the methodology that retains the integrity of the express density limits of the residential and institutional zones. Existing bonus provisions may be sought to exceed those limits based on defined performance measures.

Deleted language is ~~crossed out~~.

Sec. 5.2.7 Density and Intensity of Development Calculations

(a) Dwelling Units per Acre:

In accordance with the district-specific provisions of Article 4, the calculation of development intensity shall be measured as follows in such cases where the intensity of development is measured on a dwelling unit per acre basis:

1. Density Calculation: The total number of dwelling units provided on a development site, or portion of the site where split by a zoning district boundary, shall be divided by the gross site area expressed in acres. ~~In calculating the number of residential units permitted, fractional units of less than five tenths (0.5) shall be rounded down to the nearest whole number and fractional units of five tenths (0.5) or greater shall be rounded up to the nearest whole number. Any rounding of fractional units shall be limited to a single final calculation for any development.~~
2. Density Equivalent, Nonresidential Uses: For purposes of density calculations, each one thousand, five hundred (1,500) square feet of nonresidential gross floor area not contained within a dwelling unit or within common hallways, stairwells and elevator shafts serving said dwelling units shall be counted as one dwelling unit.

(b) Floor Area Ratio:

As written.

Potential CDO Amendments

- Appropriate refinement to section 5.2.4 (b) regarding **the measurement of steep slopes** and the determination of whether there ought to be delineated exceptions to the standards specified in the ordinance. (dew)
- Lighting standards for sidewalks within Xft of parking lots or streets – walkway standard is low next to an otherwise brighter place. (DW)
- Schools in residential districts – non-conforming. (DW)
- Retaining walls (DW) **Underway**
- Adaptive Reuse Bonus needs to provide for alternative uses as well e.g. multi-family (not just permitted). DW **Underway**
- Homeless shelters **Underway**
- Deal with occupancy of dwelling units by family (vs. group quarters) as defined in Article 13
- Vacation rentals (Air B&B, VRBO, etc) **Attorney's Office**
- Make height bonus for inclusionary housing in NMU consistent between Articles 4 and 9 (4 does not specify extra height allowance, 9 does) **Underway**
- There is no parking requirement defined for Art Studio in Article 8.
- Revamp Article 7: Signs **Underway**
- Green roof lot coverage calculation as requested by UVM Medical Center **Underway**
- Correct definition of “frontage” in Article 13. Should refer to “public” right-of-way.
- Remove conditional use review for replacement mobile homes at 1106 North Avenue (Section 5.4.12) per DRB Bylaw change. **Underway**
- Make zoning permit release language in Sec. 3.2.9 (b) consistent with statute (24 VSA, 4449).
- Redefine method to measure ADUs, Section 5.4.5 (a) 2. From *The unit does not consist of more than 30 percent of the total habitable floor area of the building, inclusive of the accessory dwelling unit*; to *The unit does not consist of more than 30 percent of the **gross (or gross finished) floor area** of the building, inclusive of the accessory dwelling unit*. Also, consider removal of CU trigger for new parking/additions. Leave DRB review to COA level I vs II trigger. Also, remove ADU from Appendix A.
- Revamp sign lighting provisions of Article 7 (Emily Lee) **Address with Article 7 revamp**
- Improve table of contents (Bruce Baker)
- Address “Preschools” – small and large in the Use Table for NAC-CR and in the Parking Table **Underway**
- Bike parking standards (work with Local Motion) **Underway**
- Section 5.2.7 (a) 1. Density Calculation. Remove last two sentencing referencing fractional units. This is moot given the method of calculation which begins with whole numbers. **Underway**
- Allow some retail sales in home occupations for customers already visiting for a service (i.e. shampoos at a home salon)
- On the record review
- Limit timelines for pending DRB applications (esp. on hold ones)
- Clarify height measurement methodology when based on the average finished grade
- Inclusionary zoning provisions (CEDO)
- Clean up conflict between “vehicle salvage yard” and “automobile salvage/junkyard” definitions

**Burlington Planning Commission
Ordinance Committee**

149 Church Street
Burlington, VT 05401
Telephone: (802) 865-7188
Fax: (802) 865-7195
www.burlingtonvt.gov/pz

Alexander Friend
Bruce Baker
Andy Montroll
Rob Purvee
Leo Sprinzen



Burlington Planning Commission

Ordinance Committee Meeting Minutes

Thursday, November 2, 2017 @ 5:15 PM

Planning & Zoning Conference Room, Ground Floor, City Hall

Attendance

- **Committee Members:** Alexander Friend (AF), Leo Sprinzen (LS)
- **Absent:** Bruce Baker (BB), Rob Purvee (RB), Andy Montroll (AM)
- **Public:** Liam Murphy
- **Staff:** Scott Gustin, Mary O'Neil (Planning & Zoning)

Due to the lack of a quorum of Committee members, this meeting was cancelled.

1. Amendment to Article 5: Citywide General Standards

Consideration of changes to Sec. 5.2.7, Density and Intensity of Development Calculations

2. CDO Amendments List

Discussion of remaining items to address

3. Next Meeting

December 7, 2017

4. Adjournment

